

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,933		08/26/2003	Gary Karlin Michelson	101.0026-04000	3089
22882	7590	06/02/2005		EXAM	INER
		RARO, LLP		JACKSON, GARY	
	1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE HARTVILLE, OH 44632			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3731	
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/647,933	MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gary Jackson	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wil	n the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute is a specified above. The maximum statute is a specified above above. The maximum statute is a specified above and the maximum statute. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation:  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON'  , by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 March 2005</u> .	,					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
·							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·							
Application Papers							
•	=vaminer						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	: 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A	pplication No					
application from the International		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.					
	·	_					
Attachment(s)		49					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed March 9, 2005. The argument have been considered, however they do not place the claims in condition for allowance. In view of applicant's argument the examiner has set forth a new ground of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Biller (US Patent 4,962,747). The patent to Biller discloses each of the following limitations:

- a body (38) having a longitudinal axis and a rear and a front;
- the body having a storage compartment capable of holding a stack of staples (see figure 2, hollow compartment inside body 38);
- a concave curve adapted to conform to the curvature of the thenar eminence and facing the rear of said body and a proximal extension (see figure 3) and

Art Unit: 3731

 said bodies having a pair of parallel sides (50), the sides are parallel to the longitudinal axis.

The device in Biller's patent is capable of being gripped in the manner recited.

The staple per se has not been positively recited and therefor has no limiting in this instance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biller in view of Wright (US Patent 4,777,948). The patent to Wright suggests a plurality of indentation for grasping by a user hand. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the handle grip of Biller with indentations as taught by Wright to minimize slippage of the grip.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pruitt (US Patent 4,848,637) in view of Biller and Wright. The patent to Pruitt discloses a surgical stapler having a gun-type grip substantially as recited in claims 12-14. Biller and Wright combination is provided herein as above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Pruitt with indentation for more comfortable ergonomic fitting to a surgeon's hand.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Antoine Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4693. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Primary Examiner Hary Jucks

Art Unit 3731

May 27, 2005

Application/Control Number: 10/647,933

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5